UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC.,  RAMBUS INC.,  Plaintiff, V.  NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, V. RAMBUS, INC.,  RAMBUS, INC.,			
3	1	[counsel identified on signature page]	
4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 0 111 1 12 12 13 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 17 RAMBUS INC., Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER 19 V. Defendant. 22 and NVIDIA CORPORATION, 21 Defendant. 22 and NVIDIA CORPORATION 24 Plaintiff, v. 25 v. 26 RAMBUS, INC.,	2		
5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 101 11 122 133 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 16 17 RAMBUS INC., Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER 19 V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. 22 and NVIDIA CORPORATION 24 Plaintiff, v. 26 RAMBUS, INC., RAMBUS, INC.,	3		
6	4		
7 8 9 9 10 10 11 1 11 12 12 13 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 16 17 RAMBUS INC., Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER 19 V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, 21 Defendant. 22 and NVIDIA CORPORATION 24 Plaintiff, v. 25 v. 26 RAMBUS, INC.,	5		
8 9 10 111 122 133	6		
9 10 10 11 12 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 16 17 RAMBUS INC., Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI Plaintiff, V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, V. RAMBUS, INC., RAMBUS, INC.,	7		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC., RAMBUS INC., Plaintiff, V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, V. RAMBUS, INC., RAMBUS, INC.,	8		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC., Plaintiff, V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, V. RAMBUS, INC., RAMBUS, INC.,	9		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC.,  Plaintiff,  V.  NVIDIA CORPORATION,  Defendant.  and  NVIDIA CORPORATION  Plaintiff,  V.  RAMBUS, INC.,  RAMBUS, INC.,	10		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC., Plaintiff, V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, V. RAMBUS, INC., RAMBUS, INC.,	11		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC., RAMBUS INC., Plaintiff, V. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, V. RAMBUS, INC., RAMBUS, INC.,	12		
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  RAMBUS INC.,  RAMBUS INC.,  Plaintiff,  V.  NVIDIA CORPORATION,  Defendant.  and  NVIDIA CORPORATION  Plaintiff,  X  Plaintiff,  RAMBUS INC.,  Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI  STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER  NVIDIA CORPORATION  Plaintiff,  V.  RAMBUS, INC.,		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16   RAMBUS INC.,   Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI   STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER   OR	14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
RAMBUS INC.,  Plaintiff,  V.  NVIDIA CORPORATION,  Defendant.  and  NVIDIA CORPORATION  Plaintiff,  RAMBUS INC.,  Case No. C-08-03343 SI Case No. C-08-05500 SI  STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER  NVIDIA CORPORATION  Plaintiff,  V.  RAMBUS, INC.,	15	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION
Plaintiff,  V.  NVIDIA CORPORATION,  Defendant.  and  NVIDIA CORPORATION  Plaintiff,  RAMBUS, INC.,	16		
v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOS ORDER  NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, v. RAMBUS, INC.,	17		
NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, v. RAMBUS, INC.,	18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
Defendant.  21 Defendant.  22 and  NVIDIA CORPORATION  24 Plaintiff,  25 v.  26 RAMBUS, INC.,	19		ORDER
and NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, v. RAMBUS, INC.,	20	NVIDIA CORPORATION,	
NVIDIA CORPORATION Plaintiff, v. RAMBUS, INC.,	21	Defendant.	
Plaintiff, v. RAMBUS, INC.,	22	and	
v. RAMBUS, INC.,	23	NVIDIA CORPORATION	
26 RAMBUS, INC.,	24	Plaintiff,	
	25	v.	
Defendant.	26	RAMBUS, INC.,	
	27	Defendant.	
28	28		

- 1	
1	Rambus Inc. and NVIDIA Corporation (collectively, the "Parties") hereby submit the
2	following joint stipulation and proposed order:
3	WHEREAS, the Court entered a Protective Order on April 21, 2009 (Docket No. 124):
4	WHEREAS, the Parties are involved in a related proceeding pending in the International
5	Trade Commission (the "ITC Action");
6	WHEREAS, the Court entered Orders on April 13, 2009 and June 18, 2009 ("Discovery
7	Orders") governing discovery in this case, which among other things implemented an initial
8	phase of discovery limited to document production from other proceedings, including but not
9	limited to the ITC Action;
10	WHEREAS, on August 5, 2009, the Court ordered, pursuant to the Parties' stipulation,
11	that the initial phase of discovery should continue until the February 12, 2010 case management
12	conference in part because an initial determination in the ITC Action is due to be issued on
13	January 22, 2010;
14	WHEREAS, the Parties are meeting and conferring regarding NVIDIA's proposals to
15	amend the Court's April 21, 2009 Protective Order, including without limitation paragraph 7.3(b)
16	regarding in-house counsel access to information that is designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
17	- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Protective Order;
18	WHEREAS, NVIDIA and Rambus each represent that none of their in-house counsel has
19	accessed the other party's materials designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
20	EYES ONLY" in this action; and
21	WHEREAS, the Parties intend to further minimize burden and inefficiency by reaching a
22	stipulation without the need to engage in motion practice at this time to resolve any dispute
23	regarding the Protective Order;
24	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the case management conference scheduled for
25	February 12, 2010, at 3:00 pm should be rescheduled to March 12, 2010, at 3:00 pm, and that the
26	case management statement should be filed no later than March 5, 2010;
27	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the initial phase of discovery should continue until the
28	March 12, 2010 case management conference;

1 Rambus and NVIDIA hereby stipulate as follows: 2 1. The case management conference scheduled for February 12, 2010, at 3:00 pm 3 should be rescheduled for March 12, 2010, at 3:00 pm, and the case management statement 4 should be filed no later than March 5, 2010; 5 2. The initial phase of discovery should continue until the March 12, 2010 case 6 management conference; 7 3. Notwithstanding the Protective Order's provision to the contrary, materials that are 8 designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" shall not be made 9 available to in-house counsel for any party before March 16, 2010, unless the parties otherwise 10 agree or the Court so orders. If the March 16, 2010 date is not extended by stipulation or a Court 11 order and a party files no later than March 15, 2010, a motion to amend the Protective Order with 12 respect to in-house counsel access, this paragraph 3 shall remain in effect until final resolution of 13 the motion, including any and all appeals to the district court of the Special Master's ruling on 14 that motion. 15 4. In view of the Stipulation addressing discovery matters in the interim, neither party 16 may file a motion in this matter until March 1, 2010. 17 5. Nothing in this Stipulation affects a party's right, pursuant to the terms of the 18 Protective Order, to challenge the designation of a document as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – 19 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." 20 Dated: January 21, 2010 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 21 22 /s/ David M. Goldstein 23 David M. Goldstein Attorneys for NVIDIA Corporation 24 405 Howard Street 25 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 773-4255 26 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759 Email: dgoldstein@orrick.com 27 28

## Case3:08-cv-03343-SI Document136 Filed01/21/10 Page4 of 4 1 Dated: January 21, 2010 MCKOOL SMITH 2 3 /s/ Pierre Hubert Pierre Hubert 4 Attorneys for Rambus Inc. 5 300 West 6th Street, Suite 1700 Austin, TX 78701 Telephone: (512) 692-8700 Facsimile: (512) 692-8744 6 7 Email: phubert@mckoolsmith.com 8 9 **SO ORDERED:** 10 11 Dated: January , 2010 HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON 12 United States District Judge 13 14 15 16 17 OHS West:260812906.1 18 15075-2017 DAG/DAG 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28